

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/067,474	02/04/2002	Paul K. Hollingsworth	FREYD-002A	6367
7663	7590 03/29/2004		EXAM	INER
	BRUNDA GARRED & E RISE, SUITE 250	BRUCKER	STINSON, F	RANKIE L
	ALISO VIEJO, CA 92656		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

1746

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

V	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
·	10/067,474	HOLLINGSWORTH, PAUL K.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	FRANKIE L. STINSON	1746		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versions of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·			
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E				
Disposition of Claims				
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) 1 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 2-6 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o</li> </ul>				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	₽Г.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∍ 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	,			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive	on No		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.		
Attachment(s)	_			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/7/2002		ater Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 1746

1. Claims 2-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- 2. Claims 2-6 provides for the use of a spray bottle, sodium tripolyphosphate and a hand-operable dispenser, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.
- 3. Claims 2-6 are is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).
- 3. Claim 1 is allowed.
- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In Japan'684, WIPO WO 02/079363, Japan'473, Cramer, Hortel et al., Rose, Ofosu- Asante et al., Gleason, Tang and DuBose, note the cleaning methods.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANKIE L. STINSON whose telephone number is (571) 272-1308. The examiner can normally be reached M-F from 5:30 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. and some Saturdays from 5:30 a.m. to 11:30 a.m.

Application/Control Number: 10/067,474

Art Unit: 1746

Page 3

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700 (571) 272-1700.

Any inquiry for missing parts of this Office Action (copies of references, pages, forms etc.), contact the TEAM LEADER Ms. Nicol Scott (571) 272-1045.

fls

FRANKIE L. STINSON Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746